

## PATENT COOPERATION TREATY



# **PCT**

## INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

	(FCI Atticle 50 and	11410 70)					
Applicant's or agent's file reference 0000053379	FOR FURTHER ACTION	See Notific Preliminary	eation of Transmittal of International Examination Report (Form PCT/IPEA/416)				
International application No.	International filing date (day/m	ionth/year)	Priority date (day/month/year)				
PCT/EP2003/003429	02 April 2003 (02.04	.2003)	05 April 2002 (05.04.2002)				
International Patent Classification (IPC) or n A01N 37/52	ational classification and IPC						
Applicant	BASF AKTIENGESELI	LSCHAFT					
<ol> <li>This international preliminary examination report has been prepared by this International Preliminary Examining Authority and is transmitted to the applicant according to Article 36.</li> </ol>							
2. This REPORT consists of a total of	sheets, includi	ng this cover	sheet.				
amended and are the basis for 70.16 and Section 607 of the	or this report and/or sneets conta e Administrative Instructions und	ining recuire	ion, claims and/or drawings which have been ations made before this Authority (see Rule				
These annexes consist of a t	otal of sheets.						
3. This report contains indications relating to the following items:							
I Basis of the report							
II Priority							
III Non-establishmen	t of opinion with regard to novel	lty, inventive	step and industrial applicability				
IV Lack of unity of in							
Desconed stateme	nt under Article 35(2) with regar anations supporting such stateme	rd to novelty, ent	inventive step or industrial applicability;				
Certain document							
Cortain defects in the international application							
VII Certain defects in the international application  Certain observations on the international application							
VIII Certain observation	on the international approxim						
1							
Date of submission of the demand			n of this report				
06 October 2003 (06.	10.2003)	(	08 July 2004 (08.07.2004)				
Name and mailing address of the IPEA/E	3P Aut	horized office	г				
Facsimile No.	Tele	ephone No.					

International application No.

PCT/EP2003/003429

## INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

I.	Basis (	of the re	port					
1.	With	regard to	the elements of the international application:*					
	$\Box$	the inte	rnational application as originally filed					
	茵	the desc	pription:					
	E3	pages	1-17	, as originally filed				
		pages		, filed with the demand				
		pages	, filed with the letter of					
	$\boxtimes$	the clai						
	لك	pages	1-15	, as originally filed				
		pages	, as amended (together w	ith any statement under Article 19				
		pages		, filed with the demand				
		pages	, filed with the letter of					
		the dra	wings:					
		pages		, as originally filed				
		pages		, filed with the demand				
		pages	, filed with the letter of					
		he seaue	ence listing part of the description:					
	Ш,	pages	mod homig part of the decer.phon	as originally filed				
		pages						
		pages	, filed with the letter of					
	<ul> <li>2. With regard to the language, all the elements marked above were available or furnished to this Authority in the language in which the international application was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.  These elements were available or furnished to this Authority in the following language which is:  the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (under Rule 23.1(b)).  the language of publication of the international application (under Rule 48.3(b)).  the language of the translation furnished for the purposes of international preliminary examination (under Rule 55.2 and/or 55.3).</li> <li>3. With regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application, the international preliminary examination was carried out on the basis of the sequence listing:</li> </ul>							
İ	contained in the international application in written form.							
		filed together with the international application in computer readable form.						
		furnished subsequently to this Authority in written form.						
	furnished subsequently to this Authority in computer readable form.							
	The statement that the subsequently furnished written sequence listing does not go beyond the disclosure in the international application as filed has been furnished.							
			tatement that the information recorded in computer readable form is identical t furnished.	o the written sequence listing has				
4	. 🔲	The a	mendments have resulted in the cancellation of:					
			the description, pages					
			the claims, Nos.					
ı			the drawings, sheets/fig					
5	i. 🔲	This re	eport has been established as if (some of) the amendments had not been made, sin d the disclosure as filed, as indicated in the Supplemental Box (Rule 70.2(c)).**	ce they have been considered to go				
	in t	his repo	t sheets which have been furnished to the receiving Office in response to an invitat rt as "originally filed" and are not annexed to this report since they do not	ion under Article 14 are referred to contain amendments (Rule 70.16				
		70.17). replacei	ment sheet containing such amendments must be referred to under item 1 and annex	ed to this report.				

## INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

International application No.
PCT/EP 03/03429

Novelty (N)	Claims	1-15	YES
	Claims		NO NO
Inventive step (IS)	Claims		YES
	Claims	1-15	NO
Industrial applicability (IA)	Claims	1-15	YES
	Claims		NO
Citations and explanations			
See Supplemental	Sheet		
		•	
		,	

### INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

International application No. PCT/EP 03/03429

Supplemental Box

(To be used when the space in any of the preceding boxes is not sufficient)

Continuation of:

- 1. Reference is made to the following documents:
  - D1: WO 98 53689 A (LEYENDECKER JOACHIM; SCHELBERGER KLAUS (DE); BASF AG (DE); LORENZ) 3 December 1998 (1998-12-03)
  - D2: WO 99 14187 A (RHEINHEIMER JOACHIM; BASF AG
    (DE); EICKEN KARL (DE); LORENZ GISELA) 25 March
    1999 (1999-03-25) & EP 1 017 670 A 12 July 2000
    (2000-07-12) cited in the application
- 2. The present application relates to synergistic fungicidal mixtures containing a benzamidoxime derivative of formula (I) and at least one of nine given strobilurin derivatives.

#### 3. Regarding Box V

#### 3.1 Novelty (PCT Article 33(2))

The available prior art discloses no mixtures containing as active ingredient a benzamidoxime derivative of formula (I) and at least one of the strobilurin derivatives (II)-(X). The subject matter of independent claim 1 is therefore not anticipated by the cited prior art.

## 3.2 <u>Inventive step (PCT Article 33(3))</u>

D1, which is considered to be the closest prior art, discloses (cf. practical example 1) synergistic fungicidal mixtures consisting of a benzamidoxime

International application No. PCT/EP 03/03429

Supplemental Box

(To be used when the space in any of the preceding boxes is not sufficient)

Continuation of:

derivative and compounds (IV), (VII) and (VIII) of the present application. The subject matter of claim 1 differs from D1 by virtue of the benzamidoxime derivative, and specifically in that it has a diffuoromethoxy group instead of a trifluoromethyl group on the benzoyl ring. The problem addressed by the present invention can therefore be considered that of providing alternative synergistic fungicidal mixtures.

A person skilled in the art looking for alternative synergistic fungicidal mixtures would first investigate combinations of known fungicides with the D1 strobilurins, starting from known fungicides with a structure very similar to the benzamidoxime derivatives described in D1. He would find such structures in D2. From a combination of the benzamidoxime derivatives described in D2 (especially from compound I.20) and the D1 strobilurins a person skilled in the art would arrive at synergistic fungicidal mixtures and methods as claimed in claims 1, 2, 5-7 and 11-15 without thereby being inventive. It would likewise be obvious to combine the benzamidoxime derivatives with further strobilurins, since a similar fungicidal activity is likely to be exhibited by compounds belonging to the same fungicide family. The subject matter of claims 3, 4 and 8-10 can therefore likewise not be considered inventive.

#### 3.3 Industrial applicability (PCT Article 33(4))

Is acknowledged for claims 1-15.

#### INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

International application No. PCT/EP 03/03429

Supplemental Box (To be used when the space in any of the preceding boxes is not sufficient)

Continuation of:

#### 4. Further observations:

Claim 1 does not meet the requirements of PCT Article 6 because the subject matter for which protection is sought is not clearly defined. By the feature "in a synergistically effective amount" the claim attempts to define the subject matter in terms of the result to be achieved, but in so doing merely states the problem to be solved. To overcome this defect, the technical features necessary for achieving this result would have to be included in the claim (cf. claim 11).